

ERIC BROWN

BRIDGING - RELEASE +  
CLEAN UP

L  
LATER

FOCUS ON ~~THE~~ Spill Report  
Regs

" Why is the Fed Model not  
adequate? "

Threshold process is clear  
Simple

START w/ FED RQ's as  
Model  
Look at it

Todd - R+C

Decision to Report =  
driven by Co Policy  
→ INCONSISTENT REPORTING  
between events / co's

Chuck - NU

No Regulations exist =  
NOT Enforceable

FED REGS, STATES  
AS MODELS

SANTOR - UETHERSFIELD  
UST - permits  
NO RECORDS

UST TANK RECORDS Absent

WORSE NOW

PROTECT TOWNS

WHY DOESN'T FD KNOW  
WHAT INVENTORY EXISTS

TOWN + INDUSTRY  
WORK TOGETHER

Ann Peters

DATA MANAGEMENT  
Public Access }  
Follow-up }  
INTEGRATION

MARK

DATA Accessible  
Compiled

OK to '96

---

DATABASE AT DEP  
being updates

---

CLOSURE Issues

Milestones

Recognized by DEP + Ind.

---

John P+W

John Wetram — HISTORICAL  
RELEASES



General Parameters  
Inside  
Thresholds  
CLARITY

CLOSURE —

DEP Responds  
DEP  $\neq$  Respond

Definitions — problems  
w/ defs  
↳ straightforward

HISTORICAL RELEASES

CLOSURE

EXISTING MODELS

Geography / Demographics

Receptors - location

---

Aqu. Prot

INTEGRATION / SIMPLICITY

Implementation

Feasibility

FINAL vs FED Model or Simple

DIVERSITY

Petroleum  
RQ's

Commercial - Malls  
Stations  
Lots

---

Reporting Procedures  
Form

---

DEED RESTRICTION  
MITIGATION  
CLOSURE

IMMINENT / Suspected

---

CLARITY

---

ENFORCEMENT  
DEP  
INDUSTRY

MIN. STANDARDS FOR  
RECORD KEEPING



SEQUENCE

PRESENTATION OF MODELS

MASS

MICH

FED

FIRE DEPT S

DATA MANAGEMENT

94 + 98

DRAFT REPORT